



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,772	01/02/2001	Stephan Meyers	017.38896X00	8646

20457 7590 01/02/2004

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP  
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET  
SUITE 1800  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

EXAMINER

ELISCA, PIERRE E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**09/750,772**

Applicant(s)  
**Stephan Meyers et al.**

Examiner  
**Pierre E. Elisca**

Art Unit  
**3621**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/07/2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 3621

**DETAILED ACTION**  
**RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 10/07/2003.
2. Claims 1-42 are pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara (U.S. Pat. No. 6,386,450) and Cerf et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,418,138) in view of Ogasawara (U.S. pat. No. 6,513,015).

As per claims 1, 3-6, 8-12, 15-28, 30-34 and 36-42 Ogasawara discloses an electronic personal shopping system, communicating between a store computer and a mobile terminal, for organizing a consumer's movement through a retail facility in accordance with the consumer's current location (a method for public wireless network access), the method comprising the steps of: detecting the presence of a local Area Network (LAN) providing wireless network access to a global data network with at least one mobile device at a location (see., abstract, col 1, lines 12-17, col 3,

**Art Unit: 3621**

lines 42-52, please note that col 5, lines 47-67, and col 6, lines 1-15 show a LAN that can be connected to the mobile terminal). It is to be noted that Ogasawara fails to explicitly disclose the process of requesting identification information from the at least one mobile device through a node of the LAN, sending user information from the at least one mobile device to the access node of the LAN, the user information including identification of the at least one mobile device and demographic information about a user of the at least one mobile device, sending the demographic information about the users of the at least one mobile device to an advertising server, providing access to the global communication data network through a gateway of the LAN to the at least one mobile device in response to receiving the demographic information about the user of the at least one mobile device by the advertising server from the access node of the LAN, accessing the global communication data network through a gateway of the LAN with the at least one mobile device, receiving commercial messages through the gateway from the advertising server, the commercial messages being selected based on the demographic information of the users, sending the commercial messages to a display at the location for viewing by the users. Cerf discloses requesting identification information from the at least one mobile device through a node of the LAN (see., abstract, , col 3, lines 12-34, the gateway or proxy server is capable of identifying information,(see., abstract, col 2, lines 35-45, col 4, lines 51-67, col 3, lines 37-59, col 5, lines 45-56, col 4, lines 51-67, col 5, lines 45-56, col 3, lines 11-27, col 3, lines 11-27, and col 1, lines 12-65, col 3, lines 37-59).

It is to be noted that Cerf fails to explicitly disclose the step of receiving the demographic information about the user of at least one mobile device. However, Ogasawara discloses an electronic shopping

**Art Unit: 3621**

that provides for customer recognition using wireless identification. A customer ID card is further useful in assisting each customer in making purchase transactions. The customer ID card is used in connection with a customer assistance which is able to develop and display various personalized assistance recommendations based on an analysis of demographic and mobile terminals (see., abstract wherein said customer's demographic profile, col 3, lines 50-67, col 4, lines 1-33, col 5, lines 17-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ogasawara and Cerf by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Ogasawara "015 because this would provide the benefit of determining that a particular customer has made any purchases of items based upon the customer ID.

**As per claims 2, 7, 13, 14, 29 and 35 Cerf** discloses the claimed method, wherein the advertising server is managed by an advertising service (see., col 3, lines 37-59, col 5, lines 45-56).

**REMARKS**

5. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the prior art of record fail to disclose: a LAN that requires demographic information of customers at a location having mobile terminals. As stated above, Ogasawara discloses this limitation in the abstract, col 1, lines 12-17, col 3, lines 42-52, please note that col 5, lines 47-67, and col 6, lines 1-15 show a LAN that can be connected to the mobile terminal).

**Art Unit: 3621**

**CONCLUSION**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

**(703) 305-7687**

  
Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

December 16, 2003